



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/710,947

08/13/2004

Michael P. Chudzik

FIS920040092US2

4946

45094

7590

05/23/2006

HOFFMAN, WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO LLC
75 STATE ST
14TH FL
ALBANY, NY 12207

EXAMINER

HE, AMY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2858

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/710,947	CHUDZIK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Amy He	2858	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 August 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/13/04</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains informality phrase "comprises" (on line 4). Replace the phrase with --includes--. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-12, 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xu et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6, 759,255).

As for claims 1, 3, 9, 11, 14 and 20, Xu discloses a method/system (in Figure 1; abstract) for determining characteristic of a material on a substrate, comprising:

depositing the material(col. 6, lines 15-16) on the substrate using a first value of a growth metric (a first time);

depositing an amount of charge on a surface of the material (step 12);

repeatedly measuring a surface voltage of the material until an onset of tunneling to provide a V_{tunnel} value (step 18);

repeating the above steps for different values of the growth metric (repeated at a second time, col. 8, lines 7-14; Figure 8 with E_{tunnel} plotted against time); and

comparing the V_{tunnel} values (V_{tunnel} values for the two different type of metal contaminations by two different annealing process at two different time/growth metric, col. 8, line 7-20), or comparing an E_{tunnel} value (see E_{tunnel} values as shown in Figures 6-10; col. 8, lines 24-30), for different values of the growth metric (time) to provide a measure of the characteristic of the material on the substrate.

Still referring to claims 1, 3, 9, 11, 14 and 20, Xu does not specifically disclose that the characteristic of the material determined is the continuity of the material on the substrate, and/or the growth mode of the material.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Xu to determine the continuity and/or the growth mode/thickness of the material on the substrate, so as to detect the interested characteristics of the dielectric material on the substrate using the less expensive and nondestructive testing method to rapidly and accurately measure the semiconductor topography (col. 1, line 43-col. 2, line 43).

As for claims 2, 10, 12 and 15-19, Xu lacks the steps of comparing a first derivative of a $V_{\text{tunnel}}/E_{\text{tunnel}}$ per growth metric curve versus the growth metric; and determining a transition between a linear region and a non-linear region to determine the continuity and/or growth mode of the material on substrate, wherein the linear region corresponds to layer-to-layer growth of the material and the nonlinear region corresponds to islanded growth of the material on the substrate.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would find it obvious to further modify Xu to compare a first derivative of a $V_{\text{tunnel}}/E_{\text{tunnel}}$ per growth metric curve versus the growth metric to determine the growth mode of the material on the substrate, for its simplicity as compared to comparing a first order function of the film thickness. Furthermore, A person of ordinary skill in the art would recognize a transition between a linear region and a non-linear region on the plot of the $V_{\text{tunnel}}/E_{\text{tunnel}}$ values.

As for claim 5, Xu discloses depositing a fixed amount of charge on the material (col. 7, lines 5-15).

As for claim 6, Xu discloses that the method is nondestructive (col. 7, lines 15-28; abstract) and can be used in-line (Figure 5).

As for claim 7, Xu discloses that the material is a high-k dielectric material (col. 6, line 26).

3. Claims 4, 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xu et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6, 759,255), in view of Jursich et al. (U. S. Pub. No. 2005/0003662).

As for claim 4, Xu discloses that the material is deposited on the substrate using CVD (col. 6, lines 15-25). Xu does not specifically disclose that the material is deposited on the substrate using Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD). Jursich et al. discloses that in thin film deposition for semiconductor fabrication, ALD is more desirable over CVD (see [0009]). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Xu to use Atomic Layer Deposition (ALD), as taught by

Art Unit: 2858

Jursich et al., for depositing thinner and more uniform layers in the semiconductor devices ([0009]).

As for claims 8 and 13, Xu does not specifically disclose identifying optimum growth conditions for layer-by-layer deposition of the material on the substrate. Jursich et al. discloses setting optimum growth conditions ([0044], [0045], [0046], [0047] and [0048]). A person of ordinary skill in the art would find it obvious to modify Xu to identify optimum growth conditions for the deposition of the material, as taught by Jursich et al. for the purpose of depositing the dielectric material on the substrate more uniformly ([0044], [0045], [0046], [0047] and [0048]) in an ALD process.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy He whose telephone number is (571) 272-2230.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diane Lee can be reached on 571-272-2399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2858

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AH
May 16, 2006.

V. Nguyen

VINCENT Q. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER